

## VALIDATION STATEMENT

### MILITARY PRIME CONTRACT FILE, 1966-75

Contractor codes, fields 1-6, are missing. However, the contractor name, fields 101-134, is written in full so the utility is not affected. For tracking purposes, contractors were assigned an individual code number which did not change regardless of any name changes that may have occurred. Once the name of the company is located, that company can be tracked using the accompanying code number.

Location codes, fields 7-17, should correspond to city code, fields 37-40, state code, fields 41-42 and county code, fields 166-168. The sample dump for 1966, however, contains unexplained characters in fields 7-16. Field 17, which, according to the record layout is empty, also contains characters for which there is no explanation.

Field 30, WALSH-HEALY OR SERVICE CONTRACT, contains unexplained alpha and numeric codes and blanks in the sample dump for 1966.

Field 33, MULTI-YEAR PROCUREMENT, is listed in the record layout as having an alpha-coded response. The sample dump for 1966, however, shows recurring numeric codes for which there is no explanation.

Fields 34-35 are listed in the record layout as being blank. The sample dump for 1966, however, shows recurring characters in these fields for which there is no explanation.

City code, fields 37-40, state code, field 41-42 and county code, fields 166-68 are missing. However, the city name, fields 135-54, state name, fields 155-69, and county name, fields 169-87, are written in full so the utility is not affected.

Fields 44-46, LABOR SURPLUS AREA, contain recurring numeric codes for which there is no explanation.

System or Equipment Codes, fields 51-53, are missing. However, System or Equipment Description, fields 239-66, is written in full so utility is not affected. Zero's in fields 51-53 indicate no description.

Response to Contract Placement, field 60, determines additional response. Zero's or blanks in field 61, SMALL BUSINESS (SIZE), fields 62-65, NEGOTIATED EXCEPTION, field 66, EXTENT OF COMPETITION, field 67, CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING, field 68, TYPE OF CONTRACT, and field 69, VALUE ENGINEERING, indicate that no response was necessary.

Field 67, CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING, is listed in the record layout as having an alpha-coded response. The sample dumps for

1966 and 1969 show recurring numeric characters for which there is no explanation.

Fields 78-86, DOLLAR VALUE (000), are listed in the record layout as being numeric. The sample dump for 1966 has recurring non-numeric characters in field 86 for which no explanation exists.

Fields 71-73, ACTION DATE, and fields 74-76, ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE, each have three characters. The first two digits refer to the year; the third refers to the month as designated by codes A-L for January-December. For example, 68C translates into March, 1968. Field 77, REPORT MONTH, also uses this monthly code.

Codes for Calender Year, fields 99-100, are missing. The sample dump for 1969 has no characters in these fields. Utility is not affected, however, as pertinent dates are listed in the Action and Estimated Completion Date data elements.

Codes for DD Claimant Program (DDCP), fields 54-56; for Cost Accounting Studies, field 71; and for Purchasing Office, fields 88-91, are missing. It cannot be determined what information was provided by these data elements.

Hand validation on NARA generated sample dumps was performed by Susan Karren, April 1988.